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The variational method is used to solve problems of filtration of a liquid in unbounded regions (inflow of a liquid to a drain, filtration of a liquid through a plain earth dam on a permeable base, etc.).

In the theory of steady flows of an incompressible liquid and gas, an important role belongs to variational principles developed by M. A. Lavrent'ev (1936) for conformal and quasi-conformal mapping. Another approach to these problems, which also has a variational character, was proposed in the papers of A. Weinstein (1924) and in the joint paper of J. Leray and A. Weinstein (1934). In contrast to these works, the variational method proposed by M. A. Lavrent'ev allowed him to establish not only theorems of existence of planar jet flows of a liquid but also theorems of uniqueness of the solutions under the same assumptions on the shape of obstacles. The method turned out to be also applicable to axisymmetric jets (J. Serrin, 1954).

In 1959, methods of M. A. Lavrent'ev, A. Weinstein, and J. Leray were further developed in the papers of V. N. Monakhov; the solvability of a wide class of planar steady problems of hydrodynamics with free boundaries was proved. As applied to the filtration theory, V. N. Monakhov proposed a variational method for proving the solvability of functional equations relative to the sought parameters of conformal mappings of finite regions with a polygonal shape of the specified part of the boundary. In the present paper, this method is extended to problems of liquid filtration in unbounded regions.

1. Formulation of the Problem. We study planar steady flows of an incompressible liquid in a porous medium (seam) with free (unknown) boundaries, which correspond to various hydrodynamic schemes of liquid filtration in the seam: inflow of a liquid to a drain or a well from a porous layer, liquid filtration from an open reservoir through a porous layer (for example, a plain earth dam or a porous insert in a chemical reactor), and liquid filtration under a hydrotechnological building whose underground part is found from given fields of pressures or velocities.

The case of an infinite depth of a saturated porous layer (filtration region of the half-plane type) is considered in a similar manner to the case of a finite region of filtration [1]. Therefore, we confine ourselves to the following two types of hydrodynamic filtration schemes: a liquid flow in a porous layer in the form of a half-band with one infinite apex and in a layer in the form of a band with two infinite apices $[1-3]$.

We direct the $O x$ axis vertically upward, opposite to the vector of acceleration of gravity and perpendicular to the main direction of the filtration flow, and consider, in the plane of the complex variable $z=x+i y$, the filtration domain $D$ bounded by the free boundary $L$ (streamline), adjoining porous walls of the seam (equipotentials) $P^{1}$ (for $y>0$ ) and $P^{2}$ (for $y<0$ ), and the impermeable foot of the seam $P^{0}$ (streamline).

[^0]The specified sectors $P^{k} \subset \partial D(k=1,2)$ of the boundary $\partial D$ are polygons with apices and ends at the points $z_{j}^{k}\left(j=\overline{1, n_{k}}\right)$ and angles $\alpha_{j}^{k} \pi$ in them; the foot of the seam $P^{0}$ is assumed to be a straight line for simplicity.

We denote the point of intersection of $P^{1}$ and $L$ as $z_{1} \in P^{1} \cap L, z_{2}=P^{1} \cap P^{0}=\infty, z_{3}=P^{0} \cap P^{2}$ (possibly, $z_{3}=\infty$ ), and $z_{4}=P^{2} \cap L$. In the vicinity of the point $z_{2}$ (and the point $z_{3}$, if $z_{3}=\infty$ ), the polygon $\left(P^{1} \cup P^{0}\right)$ (correspondingly, $P^{0} \cup P^{2}$ ) is a half-band of width $H_{2}\left(H_{3}\right)$ :

$$
H_{2}=\operatorname{Re}\left(z^{1}-z^{0}\right)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad z^{k} \in P^{k}, \quad \text { if } \quad \operatorname{Im} z^{k} \gg 1 \quad(k=0,1)
$$

[correspondingly, we have $H_{3}=\operatorname{Re}\left(z^{2}-z^{0}\right)>0$, where $z^{k} \in P^{k}$ and $k=0$ and 2]. Similarly to the case of liquid filtration in a plane earth dam [1, p. 268], we set the quantity $H\left[H=\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}-z_{4}\right)=\left|\operatorname{Re} z_{4}\right|>0\right]$ of the acting (normalized) head of the liquid in the porous layer.

In the domain $D$, we seek an analytical function $w(z)=\varphi+i \psi$ (a complex potential of filtration), which satisfies the following boundary conditions on $\partial D: \varphi=$ const for $z \in P^{1}, P^{2}, \psi=$ const for $z \in P^{0}$, and $\varphi+x=$ const and $\psi=$ const for $z \in L$. In the plane $w$, the domain $D$ corresponds to the rectangle $D^{*}=w(D)$ with apices at the points $w_{k}(k=\overline{1,4})$, which are operands of $z_{k},\left|w_{1}-w_{4}\right|=\left|w_{2}-w_{3}\right|=H$ is a given liquid head and $\left|w_{1}-w_{2}\right|=\left|w_{3}-w_{4}\right|=Q$ is the sought flow rate. Note that, in the case considered, the region of leaking (drainage) $[1-3]$ is horizontal. The derivatives of conformal mappings of the upper half-plane $E=\{\operatorname{Im} \zeta>0\}$ onto the domains $D$ and $D^{*}$ have the following form [1]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d w}{d \zeta}=\prod_{1}^{4}\left(\tau_{k}-\zeta\right)^{-1 / 2}=\Pi_{0}(\zeta), \quad \frac{d z}{d \zeta}=\Pi(\zeta) M(\zeta)  \tag{1}\\
\Pi=\prod_{k, j}\left(\zeta-t_{j}^{k}\right)^{3_{j}^{k}}\left(\zeta-\tau_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta-\tau_{3}\right)^{-\delta}, \quad M=\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left|\Pi_{0}(t)\right|}{|\Pi(t)|(t-\zeta)} d t .
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $t_{j}^{k}$ are the operands of the apices $z_{j}^{k}\left(k=1,2 ; j=\overline{1, n_{k}}\right)$ of the polygon ( $P^{1} \cup P^{2}$ ), $\tau_{k}$ are the operands of the points $z_{k}(k=\overline{1,4})$, and $\beta_{j}^{k} \pi=\left(\alpha_{j}^{k}-1\right) \pi$ are the external angles of the polygon $\left(P^{1} \cup P^{2}\right) ; \delta=0$ for $\left|z_{3}\right|<\infty$ and $\delta=1$ for $z_{3}=\infty$. We normalize the conformal mapping $z(\zeta), z: E \rightarrow D$ assuming that $\tau_{4}=t_{n_{2}}^{2}=-1, \tau_{1}=t_{1}^{1}=1, t_{n_{2}-1}^{2}=-2, z_{1}=z\left(\tau_{1}\right)=H+H_{2}, \tau_{1} \leqslant t_{j}^{1}<t_{j+1}^{1}<\tau_{2}\left(j=\overline{1, n_{1}-1}\right)$, and $\tau_{3} \leqslant t_{j}^{2}<t_{j+1}^{2} \leqslant \tau_{4}\left(j=\overline{1, n_{2}-1}\right)$.

The unknown constants $\tau_{2}, \tau_{3}$, and $t_{j}^{k}\left(k=1, j=\overline{2, n_{1}} ; k=2, j=\overline{2, n_{2}-2}\right)$ are found from the following system of equations, which defines the geometry of the polygon $P=\bigcup_{k=0}^{2} P^{k}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{j}^{k}=\int_{t_{j}^{k}}^{t_{j}^{k}}\left|\frac{d z}{d t}\right| d t \quad\left(k=1, \quad j=\overline{1, n_{1}-1} ; \quad k=2, \quad j=\overline{1+\gamma, n_{2}-2}\right)  \tag{2}\\
H_{i}=\pi M\left(\tau_{i}\right) \Pi_{i}\left(\tau_{i}\right), \quad i=2,2+\gamma \quad\left(n_{1} \geqslant 1, \quad n_{2} \geqslant 3\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\Pi_{i}=\Pi(\zeta)\left(\zeta-\tau_{i}\right)(i=2,3) ; \gamma=0$ for $\left|z_{3}\right|<\infty$ and $\gamma=1$ for $z_{3}=\infty$.
Note that, according to conditions (2), not all lengths of the segments of the polygon $P^{2}$ are fixed. This is related to the presence of a horizontal section of leaking (drainage) whose length is a sought quantity in the vicinity of the point $z_{4}=P^{2} \cap L$.
2. A Priori Estimates. We use the notation $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n_{1}}^{1} ; \alpha_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n_{2}}^{2}\right) \in R^{n}\left(n=n_{1}+n_{2}\right)$ for the characteristic of interior angles $\alpha_{j}^{k} \pi$ of the polygon $P=\bigcup_{0}^{2} P^{k}, l=\left(l_{1}^{1}, \ldots, l_{n_{1}}^{1} ; l_{1}^{2}, \ldots, l_{n_{2}-2}^{2}\right)\left(l_{n_{1}}^{1} \equiv H_{2}\right.$, $l_{1}^{2} \equiv H_{3}$ for $\left.z=\infty\right)$ for the metric characteristic of $P$, and call $p=(\alpha, l)$ the geometric characteristic of $P$.

We consider the family $G(\delta)$ of simple polygons $P \subset G$ with the characteristics $p \in G(\delta)$ :

$$
G(\delta)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0<\delta \leqslant \alpha_{j}^{k} \leqslant 2, \quad(j, k) \in I ; \quad 0 \leqslant\left(\alpha_{1}^{1}, \alpha_{n_{2}}^{2}\right) \leqslant 3 / 2-\delta,  \tag{3}\\
\sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}}\left(\alpha_{j}^{k}-1\right)=0, \quad k=1,2 \quad\left(\alpha_{1}^{2}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad z_{3}=\infty\right), \\
\left|\ln l_{j}^{k}\right| \leqslant \delta^{-1}, \quad j=\overline{1, n_{1}}, \quad k=1 ; \quad j=\overline{1, n_{2}-2}, \quad k=2,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $I=\left(j=\overline{2, n_{1}}, k=1 ; j=\overline{1, n_{2}-1}, k=2\right)$. The condition $\sum_{j=1}^{n_{k}}\left(\alpha_{j}^{k}-1\right)=0$ ensures the validity of the necessary estimate $0<|\zeta|^{2}\left|z_{\zeta}\right|<\infty$ in the vicinity of $\zeta=\infty$.

We assume that $\Delta t_{j}^{k}=\left|t_{j+1}^{k}-t_{j}^{k}\right|\left(j=\overline{1, n_{k}-1}, k=1, n-2\right)$ and consider $u=$ $\left(t_{1}^{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{1}}^{1} ; t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}-2}^{2}\right) \in R^{n-2}\left(t_{n_{1}+1}^{1} \equiv \tau_{2}, t_{1}^{2} \equiv \tau_{3}\right)$.

For the solution $u \in R^{n-2}$ of system (2) corresponding to the simple polygon $P \subset G(\delta)$, we establish the validity of the following inclusion ( a priori estimates):

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in \Omega=\left\{u \mid 0<\varepsilon(\delta) \leqslant \Delta t_{j}^{k} \leqslant \varepsilon^{-1}, \quad j=\overline{1, n_{k}-1}, k=1,2\right\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the constant $\varepsilon(\delta)>0$ depends only on the geometric characteristic $p$ of the polygon $P$ in (3).
We consider one corollary of system (2):

$$
H=\int_{-1}^{1} \Pi_{0}(t) d t, \quad \Pi_{0}=\prod_{k=1}^{4}\left|t-\tau_{k}\right|^{-1 / 2}
$$

Taking into account that $\left|\tau_{3}\right| \leqslant\left|t_{n_{2}-1}^{2}\right|=2$, we find $H \leqslant K_{1}\left(\tau_{2}-1\right)^{-1 / 2}$, whence we obtain $\tau_{2}-1 \leqslant\left(H^{-1} K_{1}\right)^{2} \equiv$ $K_{2}$.

Let $r \equiv\left(\tau_{2}-1\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then we have

$$
H \geqslant \int_{0}^{1} \Pi_{0} d t \geqslant K_{3}^{-1} \int_{0}^{1}(1+r-t)^{-1} d t, \quad \text { i.e. } \quad r \geqslant\left(\mathrm{e}^{H K_{3}}-1\right)^{-1} \equiv \varepsilon_{2}>0
$$

Coming back to the relation for $H$, we obtain $H \leqslant K_{4} \varepsilon_{2}^{-1 / 2}\left(\left|\tau_{3}\right|-1\right)^{-1 / 2}$, whence we have $\left|\tau_{3}\right| \leqslant K_{5}$.
We now establish the validity of the estimate $t_{n_{1}}^{1}-1 \geqslant \varepsilon>0$. Assume, to the contrary, that $r \equiv$ $\left(t_{n_{1}}^{1}-1\right) \rightarrow 0$. We introduce the auxiliary function

$$
M_{r}(\zeta)=\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-1}^{1-r} \frac{\left|\Pi_{0}(t) \Pi^{-1}(t)\right| d t}{t-\zeta}, \quad M_{r}(\zeta) \rightarrow M(\zeta), \quad r \rightarrow 0, \quad|\zeta|<\infty
$$

We consider the half-circle $K_{r}=\{|\zeta-1-r / 2|=r\} \cap\{\operatorname{Im} \zeta>0\}$ and show that

$$
\left|\Lambda_{r}\right|=\left|\int_{K_{r}} \Pi(\zeta) M_{r}(\zeta) d \zeta\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 0
$$

In this case, obviously, we have $l_{k}^{1}(r) \rightarrow 0\left(k=\overline{1, n_{1}-1}\right)$, which is impossible; thus, we obtain $t_{n_{1}}-1 \geqslant \varepsilon>0$.

In accordance with the geometry of the polygon $P=\bigcup_{0}^{2} P^{k}$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{n_{1}} \beta_{k}^{1}=0$. We assume that $\sum_{1}^{n_{1}}=\Sigma^{\prime}+\Sigma^{\prime \prime}$, with all $\beta_{k}^{1} \leqslant 0$ collected in $\Sigma^{\prime}$ and all $\beta_{k}^{1}>0$ in $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}$, and note that $\Sigma^{\prime \prime} \beta_{k}^{1}=-\Sigma^{\prime} \beta_{k}^{1} \equiv \mu>0$. Taking this into account, we find

$$
\left|M_{r}(\zeta)\right| \leqslant C \int_{-1}^{1-r}(1+t)^{1 / 2-\alpha_{\pi_{2}}^{2}} \varphi(t) \frac{(1-t)^{-1 / 2}}{|t-\zeta|} d t, \quad \varphi=\left(1+\frac{r}{1-t}\right)^{\mu}
$$

Since $\varphi(t) \leqslant 2^{\mu}$ for $t \in[-1,1-r]$, then we have

$$
\left|M_{r}(\zeta)\right||\zeta-1|^{1 / 2} \leqslant C_{0} \quad\left(\zeta \in K_{r}, \quad r \leqslant 1 / 2\right)
$$

which leads to the estimate $\left|\Lambda_{r}\right| \leqslant C_{1} r^{1 / 2}$. In this case, obviously, we obtain $l_{k}^{1}(r) \rightarrow 0\left(k=\overline{1, n_{1}-1}\right)$, which is impossible, i.e., $t_{n}^{1}-1 \geqslant \varepsilon>0$. It is proved in a similar manner that $t_{k}^{1}-1 \geqslant \varepsilon>0$ for $k=\overline{2, n_{1}-1}$.

We assume that $\tau_{2}-t_{p}^{1} \equiv r \rightarrow 0\left(p=\overline{2, n_{1}}\right)$. If $\beta^{p} \equiv \sum_{k=p}^{n_{1}} \beta_{k}^{1} \neq 0$, then in relation (2) we obtain $H_{2}=\pi\left|\Pi_{2}\left(\tau_{2}\right) M\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right|, M\left(\tau_{2}\right) \neq 0, \infty$, and $\Pi_{2}\left(\tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for $\beta^{p}>0$ and $\Pi_{2}\left(\tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ for $\beta^{p}<0$, which contradicts condition (3); therefore, we have $\left|\ln H_{2}\right| \leqslant \delta^{-1}<\infty$. Let $\beta^{p}=\sum_{k=p}^{n_{1}} \beta_{k}^{1}=0$. We assume that $\sum_{k=p}^{n_{1}} \beta_{k}^{1} \equiv \Sigma^{\prime}+\Sigma^{\prime \prime}$ with all $\beta_{k}^{1} \geqslant 0$ collected in $\Sigma^{\prime}$ and all $\beta_{k}^{1}<0$ in $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}$. We use the notation $\Sigma^{\prime} \beta_{k}^{1}=\mu>0$ and $\Sigma^{\prime \prime} \beta_{k}^{1}=-\nu$; in accordance with the assumption $\beta^{p}=0$, we have $\mu-\nu=0$. We consider the expression for $l_{p-1}(p \geqslant 2)$ in (2), taking into account that $t_{p-1}^{1}$ does not belong to converging parameters; therefore, we have $t_{p}^{1}-t_{p-1}^{1} \geqslant 2 \varepsilon>0$ ( $\varepsilon$ is fixed). We assume that $t_{p}^{1} \equiv \tau$ and $\tau_{2}-\tau \equiv r \rightarrow 0$. Then we obtain

$$
l_{p-1} \geqslant \int_{\tau-\varepsilon}^{\tau}\left|\frac{d z}{d t}\right| d t \geqslant K \int_{\tau-\varepsilon}^{\tau}(\tau-t)^{\mu}(\tau+r-t)^{-\nu} d t \equiv K I(r)
$$

We perform the substitution $\tau-t=s r$ in the integral $I(r)$ :

$$
I(r)=\int_{0}^{\varepsilon / r} s^{\mu}(1+s)^{-\nu} d s \geqslant \int_{1}^{\varepsilon / r}\left(1+\frac{1}{s}\right)^{-\nu} d s \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow 0
$$

which contradicts condition (3) $\left|\ln l_{p-1}\right| \leqslant \delta^{-1}<\infty$. Thus, we have established

$$
1+\varepsilon_{1} \leqslant t_{k}^{1} \leqslant \tau_{2}-\varepsilon_{2}, \quad k=\overline{2, n_{1}}, \quad\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)>0
$$

These inequalities make it possible to use the estimates $u_{k}^{1}=t_{k+1}^{1}-t_{k}^{1} \geqslant \varepsilon>0$, where $k=\overline{1, n_{1}}$ $\left(t_{n_{1}+1} \equiv \tau_{2}\right.$ ), which are valid in the case of a finite polygon $P=\bigcup_{0}^{2} P^{k}$ [1]. Similar considerations are also applicable in proving the estimates $\Delta t_{k}^{2}=t_{k+1}^{2}-t_{k}^{2} \geqslant \varepsilon>0$, where $k=\overline{1, n_{2}-1}\left(t_{1}^{2} \equiv \tau_{3}\right)$, corresponding to the polygon $P^{2}$. The a priori estimates (4) are proved.

Remark 1. The main difficulty in obtaining estimates (4) is the fact that the density $h(t) \equiv \prod_{1}^{4} \mid t-$ $\left.\tau_{4}\right|^{-1 / 2}$ of the integral $M(\zeta)$ in (1) depends on the sought constants $\tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{3}\left(\tau_{1}=1\right.$ and $\left.\tau_{4}=-1\right)$. In an appropriate representation of $M(\zeta)$ in [1, p. 111], $h(t)$ is a function of only prescribed constants $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{4}$.

Remark 2. As follows from the proof of the a priori estimates (4), even if $P^{0} \in G(\delta)$ is not a straight line, the validity of (4) is obviously retained in this case too.

Remark 3. Another normalization of conformal mappings defined in (1) is possible: $\tau_{1}=1, \tau_{4}=-1$, and $\tau_{3}=-2$. Then from the relation

$$
H\left(\tau_{2}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1}\left|\Pi_{0}(t)\right| d t \quad\left[\frac{d H}{d \tau_{2}}<0, H(1)=\infty, H(\infty)=0\right]
$$

we can uniquely determine $\tau_{2}$ and, hence, the flow rate of the liquid

$$
Q=\int_{1}^{\tau_{2}}\left|\Pi_{0}(t)\right| d t
$$

In this case, one equation in system (1) should be rejected, for example, it is not allowed to set the value of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Therefore, this normalization is unphysical.
3. Local Uniqueness of the Solutions. We write system (2) in an operator form with respect to $u=\left(t_{1}^{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{1}}^{1} ; t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}-2}^{2}\right) \equiv\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n-2}\right) \in R^{n-2}\left(n=n_{1}+n_{2}\right):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=g(u, \alpha)=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n-2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l=\left(l_{1}^{1}, \ldots, l_{n_{1}}^{1} ; l_{1}^{2}, \ldots, l_{n_{2}-1}^{2}\right) \equiv\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n-2}\right)$ is the metric characteristic of $P$ and $\alpha$ is the characteristic of interior angles of $P$.

We prove the following properties of the operator $g(u, \alpha)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u, \alpha) \in C^{2}(\Omega \times G) ; \quad \frac{D g}{D u}=\left\{g_{i j}\right\} \neq 0, \quad g_{i j}=\frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial u_{j}}, \quad u \in \Omega \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sets $\Omega$ and $G$ are defined in (3) and (4).
Differentiability of the components $g_{i}$ representable in the form

$$
g_{i}=l_{j}^{k}=\int_{t_{j}^{k}}^{t_{j+1}^{k}}\left|\frac{d z}{d t}\right| d t
$$

follows from [1] after reducing the integration intervals to [ 0,1$]$. For the components $g_{n_{1}}=H_{2}$ and $g_{n_{1}+1}=H_{3}$, $H_{k}=\pi\left|\Pi_{k}\left(\tau_{k}\right) M\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right|(k=2,3)$, differentiability on the set $(u, p) \in(\Omega \times G)$ can be verified directly.

We prove the nondegeneracy of the transformation $l=g(u, \alpha), D g / D u \neq 0$ by a variational method in a similar manner to [1]. We express the variation of $l$ for a fixed $\alpha$ via the variation of the sought solution $u$ in (5): $\delta l=(D g / D u) \delta u$. Assuming that $\delta u \neq 0$ for $\delta l=0$, in the resultant equality we calculate the variations of the mappings $z: E \rightarrow D$ and $\zeta: D \rightarrow E$ through each other: $\delta z+z_{\zeta} \delta \zeta=0$. Posing a boundaryvalue problem for $\delta z$ from this relation, we obtain $\delta z=\Pi(\zeta) Q_{m_{0}}(\zeta)$, where $Q_{m_{0}}(\zeta)$ is a polynomial of power $m_{0} \geqslant 0$. We now calculate $\delta z$ directly from the representation $z=z(\zeta)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
z=\int_{1}^{\zeta} \Pi(\zeta) M(\zeta) d \zeta+z_{1}, \quad \delta z=\int_{1}^{\zeta} \Pi(\zeta) \Phi(\zeta, \delta u) d \zeta \quad\left(\delta z_{1}=0\right) \\
\Phi=\sum_{j, k}\left[\left(1-\alpha_{j}^{k}\right)\left(\zeta-t_{j}^{k}\right)^{-1} M(\zeta)+\frac{\partial M}{\partial t_{j}^{k}}\right] \delta t_{j}^{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $|\delta z(\infty)|<\infty$. Comparing $\delta z$ and $(\delta z)_{\zeta}$ in the vicinity of $t_{j}^{k}$, from the resultant representation with $\delta z$ and $(\delta z)_{\zeta}$ found by solving the boundary-value problem, we finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta z=\prod_{j, k}\left(\zeta-t_{j}^{k}\right)^{\alpha_{j}^{k}-\gamma_{j}^{k}} Q_{m}(\zeta)\left(\zeta-\tau_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta-\tau_{3}\right)^{-\delta} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\gamma_{j}^{k}=0$ if $\delta t_{j}^{k}=0$ and $\gamma_{j}^{k}=1$ for $\delta t_{j}^{k} \neq 0 ; \delta=0$ for $\left|z_{3}\right|<\infty$ and $\delta=1$ for $z_{3}=\infty ; Q_{m}(\zeta)$ is a polynomial of power $m$. In this case, we have $\lambda \equiv \sum_{j, k} \alpha_{j}^{k}=n_{1}+n_{2}=n$, for $\left|z_{3}\right|<\infty$ and $\lambda=1$ for $z_{3}=\infty$. Since $\delta t_{1}^{1}=\delta t_{n_{2}}^{2}=\delta t_{n_{2}-1}^{2}=0$, we obtain $\sum_{j, k} \gamma_{j}^{k} \leqslant n-3$. Then, according to representation (7), in the vicinity of $\zeta=\infty$ we have $|\delta z||\zeta|^{-q}<\infty$, where $q=\sum_{j, k}\left(\alpha_{j}^{k}-\gamma_{j}^{k}\right)+m_{0}-1-\delta \geqslant 2$, which contradicts the boundedness of $\delta z(\infty)$. Thus, we have $\delta z \equiv 0$, whence it necessarily follows that $\Phi(\zeta, \delta u) \equiv 0$ in the representation for $\delta z$, which, in turn, involves the equality $\delta u=0$. Relations (6) are proved.
4. Existence and Uniqueness of the Solutions. The a priori estimates (4) and the local uniqueness (6) of the solutions of system (2) corresponding to a simple polygon $P \subset G(\delta)$ defined in (3) allow us to use the method of continuity to prove its solvability [1]. The variant of the method of continuity developed in [1] involves the construction of local variations of the initial polygon $P_{0}$ for which the solvability of (2) is known,
by transforming $P_{0}$ to a given polygon $P$. By virtue of (6), we have $D g / D u \neq 0$, and the solvability of (2) for a small deformation of $P_{0}$ follows from the theorem of implicit functions.

An algorithm for constructing a family of polygons $P_{k}$ converging to a given polygon $P$ is proposed in [1], and the solvability of (2) is proved on the grounds of its solvability for the initial polygon $P_{0}$.

In jet problems of hydrodynamics [1, Chapter 4], this algorithm is also implemented in the case of infinite regions. This generalization is transferred in a similar manner to problems of filtration theory for which properties (4) and (6) are established. The theorem of uniqueness of the solutions of system (2) for a given polygon $P \subset G(\delta)$ also follows from the method of continuity if this theorem is valid for the initial polygon $P_{0}$.

Let us construct first an initial polygon $P_{0}$ for problems of filtration theory in domains of the type of a half-band $\left(\left|z_{3}\right|<\infty\right)$. We assume that $P_{0}^{0}=\left\{x=0, y>y_{3}=\operatorname{Im} z_{3}\right\}, P_{0}^{1}=\left\{x=H_{2}>H, y>0\right\}$, and $P_{0}^{2}=\left\{0<x<H_{2}-H, y=x \sin (1-\alpha)\right\}$, where $\alpha \in(1 / 2,1)$ [condition (3)]. The head $H$ is given, and the depth $H_{2}$ is not fixed yet. Then in (1) we have

$$
\Pi(\zeta)=\left(\zeta-\tau_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\zeta-\tau_{3}\right)^{\alpha-1}\left(\zeta-\tau_{4}\right)^{1-\alpha}, \quad \tau_{1}=1, \quad \tau_{4}=-1
$$

In addition, we fix the constant $\tau_{3}=-2$ and from the condition

$$
H=\int_{-1}^{1}\left|\Pi_{0}(t)\right| d t \equiv H\left(\tau_{2}\right) \quad\left(\frac{d H}{d \tau_{2}}<0, \quad H(\infty)=0, \quad H(1)=\infty\right)
$$

we uniquely determine $\tau_{2}$ and, consequently, $H_{2}=\pi\left|\Pi_{2}\left(\tau_{2}\right) M\left(\tau_{2}\right)\right|$ in (1).
If there are apices $z_{j}^{k}\left(j=\overline{1, n_{k}}\right.$, where $k=1$ and 2$)$ with angles $\alpha_{j}^{k} \pi$ at them on $P^{k}$ in the initial polygon $P=\bigcup_{0}^{2} P^{k}$, then for the polygon $P_{0}$ we introduce fixed operands $t_{0 j}^{k}$ of the "apices" $z_{0 j}^{k} \in P_{0}^{k}\left(z_{1}^{1}=z_{1}\right.$, $z_{1}^{2}=z_{3}$, and $z_{n_{2}}^{2}=z_{4}$ ) with angles $\alpha_{0 j}^{k} \pi=\pi$. They should obey the conditions

$$
t_{01}^{1}=1<t_{0 j}^{1}<t_{0 j+1}^{1}<\tau_{2}, \quad t_{01}^{2}=\tau_{3}<t_{0 j}^{2}<t_{0 j+1}^{2}<\tau_{4}
$$

Using the constructed conformal mapping $z=z_{0}(\zeta), z_{0}: E \rightarrow D_{0}, P_{0} \subset \partial D_{0}$, we uniquely determine the apices $z_{0 j}^{k}=z_{0}\left(t_{0 j}^{k}\right)$ and, consequently, $l_{0 j}=\left|z_{0 j+1}^{k}-z_{0 j}^{k}\right|$.

System (2) corresponding to the thus-fixed polygon $P_{0}=\bigcup_{1}^{2} P_{0}^{k}\left(z_{0 j}^{k} \subset P_{0}^{k}, \alpha_{0 j}^{k}=1\right)$ in terms of construction is uniquely solvable with respect to $u_{0}=\left(t_{02}^{1}, \ldots, t_{0 n_{1}}^{1}, \tau_{2} ; t_{1}^{2}, \ldots, t_{n_{1}-2}^{2}\right)$, i.e., $P_{0}$ has the required properties of the initial polygon. The deformation of $P_{0}$ to the prescribed polygon $P$ corresponding to the initial problem of filtration theory is now constructed using a standard procedure [1, Chapters 3 and 4].

Let $z_{3}=\infty$. We construct a polygon $P_{0}=\bigcup_{0}^{2} P_{0}^{k}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{0}^{0}=\{x=0,-\infty<y<\infty\}, \quad P_{0}^{1}=\left\{x=H_{2}>H, y>0\right\} \\
& P_{0}^{2}=\left\{x=H_{2}-H ; y<y_{0}=\operatorname{Im} z_{0}, y_{0}<y<y_{4}=\operatorname{Im} z_{4}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and there is an angle $\alpha_{0} \pi=2 \pi$ at the point $z_{0} \in P_{0}^{2}$ (section of $P_{0}^{2}$ ). The head $H$ and the depth $H_{2}$ are set. In (1), we have

$$
\Pi(\zeta)=\left[\left(\zeta-\tau_{2}\right)\left(\zeta-\tau_{3}\right)\right]^{-1}\left(\zeta-\tau_{0}\right), \quad z_{0}=z_{0}\left(\tau_{0}\right), \quad z_{0}: E \rightarrow D_{0}, \quad P_{0} \subset \partial D_{0}
$$

As in the previous case, we fix $\tau_{1}=1, \tau_{4}=-1$, and $\tau_{3}=-2$, thus, defining $\tau_{2}$ from the condition $H=\int_{-1}^{1}\left|\Pi_{0}(t)\right| d t$.

We now consider the equation for $H_{3}=H_{2}-H>0$ :

$$
H_{3}\left(\tau_{0}\right)=\pi \frac{\tau_{0}-\tau_{3}}{\tau_{2}-\tau_{3}}\left|M\left(\tau_{3}\right)\right| \quad\left(\frac{d H_{3}}{d \tau_{0}}>0, \quad H_{3}\left(\tau_{3}\right)=0, \quad H_{3}\left(\tau_{4}\right)=\infty\right)
$$

from which we uniquely find $\tau_{0} \in\left(\tau_{3}, \tau_{4}\right)$.
If necessary, we fix the constants $t_{0 j}^{k}$ and construct the points $z_{0 j}^{k}=z_{0}\left(t_{0 j}^{k}\right), z_{0}: E \rightarrow D_{0}, P_{0} \subset \partial D_{0}$.
System (2) corresponding to the constructed polygon $P_{0}$ is, obviously, uniquely solvable; hence, $P_{0}$ has all the necessary properties of the initial polygon. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let liquid filtration occur in a domain $D$ bounded by a free boundary $L$ and a simple polygon $P=\bigcup_{0}^{2} P^{k} \subset G$ [condition (3)]. Then system (2) with respect to the vector $u \in R^{n-2}$ of the sought parameters of the conformal mapping $z: E \rightarrow D, \partial D=P \cup L$ and, hence, the initial problem of filtration theory are uniquely solvable.

Remark 4. In [1, Chapters 3 and 4], the family $P_{m}^{k} \rightarrow \Gamma^{k}(m \rightarrow \infty)$ is used to justify the limiting transition to given curved boundaries $\Gamma^{k}(k=1,2)$, which is also applicable in the examined problems of the filtration theory. However, the uniqueness of the solutions is not guaranteed in the limiting case.

Remark 5. For curved boundaries $\Gamma^{k} \subset \partial D$, the theorem of existence and uniqueness of filtration problems can be established by other methods [ 1 , Chapter $8, \S 5$ ].

Let, for definiteness, $z_{3}=\infty$,

$$
\Gamma^{k}: \quad x=f^{k}(y), \quad|y| \geqslant y_{0}^{k} \quad\left(y_{0}^{1}=0, \quad y_{0}^{2}=\operatorname{Im} z_{0}\right)
$$

We assume that $f^{k}(y) \in C^{2}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right), f^{k} \equiv x^{k}=$ const for $|y| \geqslant y_{1}^{k}>y_{0}^{k}$, and $d f^{k} / d y \neq 0$ for $y_{0}^{k}<|y|<y_{1}^{k}$. We make the substitution of variables: $x-f^{k}(y)=\xi-x^{k}$ and $y=\eta$. Then $\Gamma^{k}$ in the new variables will be transformed to straight half-lines $P^{k}$ (second example of $P_{0}$ ). The resultant simplest boundary-value problem for the generalized analytical function $z=F(\zeta)$ has a unique solution [1, p. 388].
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